

**GALWAY GOLD INC.  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013**

## **Introduction**

The following management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations of Galway Metals Inc. ("Galway" or the "Company") constitutes management's review of the factors that affected the Company's financial and operating performance for the three months ended March 31, 2013. This MD&A has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102 – Continuous Disclosure Obligations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the audited annual consolidated financial statements of the Company for the period ended December 31, 2012 as well as the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2012, together with the notes thereto. Results are reported in United States dollars, unless otherwise noted. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which consist only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Information contained herein is presented as at May 30, 2013, unless otherwise indicated.

For the purposes of preparing this MD&A, management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) such information results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a significant change in the market price or value of Galway common shares; or (ii) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; or (iii) if it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. Management, in conjunction with the Board of Directors, evaluates materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.

## **Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information**

Certain statements contained in the following MD&A constitute forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

## **Description of Business**

Galway Gold Inc. ("Galway Gold" or "the Company") was incorporated pursuant to the Business Corporations Act (New Brunswick) on May 9, 2012. Galway Gold's head office is located at 36 Toronto Street, Suite 1000, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5C 2C5. Galway Gold was incorporated for the sole purpose of participating in the Plan of Arrangement (the "Arrangement") announced October 19, 2012 involving Galway Gold, Galway Metals Inc., Galway Resources Ltd. ("Galway"), AUX Acquisition 2 S.à.r.l ("AUX") and AUX Canada Acquisition 2, formerly 2346407 Ontario Inc. ("AUX Canada"), a wholly owned subsidiary of AUX. Galway Gold has not carried on any active business other than in connection with the Arrangement and related matters.

Under the Arrangement, AUX Canada acquired all of the common shares of Galway not already owned by AUX Canada and its affiliates and pursuant to the Arrangement, Galway shareholders received for each Galway common share: cash consideration of Cdn\$2.05 per share, one Galway Gold common share and one common share in a new exploration and development company, Galway Metals Inc. Under the Arrangement, Galway transferred to Galway Gold and Galway Gold will indirectly hold as assets a 100% interest in Galway's Vetás Gold Project, being an interest in Reina de Oro and Coloro concessions located in the Vetás Mining District in Colombia (the "Vetás Project") and approximately US\$18 million in net working capital. Upon completion of the Arrangement, Galway's existing securityholders including AUX Canada and its affiliates owned 90% of the Galway Gold shares outstanding, proportionate to their

ownership of Galway at the time the Arrangement was completed and AUX Canada indirectly owns an additional 10% of the Galway Gold shares via its ownership of Galway Resources Ltd.

The Arrangement was completed by way of statutory Plan of Arrangement under the Business Corporations Act (Ontario). The Arrangement was approved by Galway shareholders and warrant holders at a special meeting held on December 17, 2012.

On January 21, 2013, the Company's common shares commenced trading on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "GLW".

Further information about the Company and its operations can be obtained from [www.galwaygoldinc.com](http://www.galwaygoldinc.com) or from [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

### **Discussion of Operations and Mineral Property Interests**

Through the completion of the Arrangement described on page 2, the Company acquired a 100% interest in the Vetás Gold Project and assumed the contracts to secure land packages in the Vetás gold region in the state of Santander, Colombia, as described below. Galway Gold will be committed to continue the payment obligations under these agreements. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company issued 250,000 common shares (ascribed a fair value of \$99,350) and made a property option payment of \$75,000 under the terms of the underlying agreements.

The outstanding payment obligations under the original agreements are as follows:

- payment of \$100,000 on January 20, 2014; and
- issuance of 300,000 Galway common shares on October 20, 2014;

Galway Gold has the option to earn 100% of the project by paying 1.5% of the gold value of measured and indicated gold resources. The contracts cover 541 hectares and the property will not be encumbered by royalty commitments.

The Vetás Project contains the high-grade El Volcan gold-silver mine, the largest gold mine in the Vetás and California gold districts, which has been in production for over 400 years. Galway Resources began drilling the Vetás Project in April, 2011, with the focus to test for a continuation of mineralization below the El Volcan mine, strike and lateral extensions to the mine, as well as to evaluate 7 surface geochemical/geophysical anomalies that have been identified. As of March 31, 2013, results from 76 diamond drill holes had been released, 60 of which were drilled from underground, targeting the El Volcan structure, while the balance were surface holes targeting the intrusive exhibiting stockwork veining along its western border with CB Gold Inc.

During the first quarter of 2013 Galway Gold released results from 8 underground drill holes and announced that the number of identified veins has now doubled to 16 from the 8 previously established veins. The Company has also more than doubled the depth at which gold mineralization was originally identified below the main (Reina de Oro) level to 710 meters, or 900 meters below surface.

In the 60 underground drill holes reported to date, Galway has intersected 5 g/t Au or more 266 times, 20 g/t Au or more 82 times, 50 g/t Au or more 35 times, 100 g/t Au or more 16 times and 1,000 g/t or more two times.

Highlights of underground drilling results released from El Volcan:

- 1,082.6 g/t Au and 718.0 g/t silver (Ag) over 1.21 m, plus 77.1 g/t Au and 51.3 g/t Ag over 1.13 m, plus 95.6 g/t Au over 1.26 m, plus 17.8 g/t Au and 77.7 g/t Ag over 2.34 m from GWY-V021
- 1,034.3 g/t Au and 300.0 g/t Ag over 0.91 m, plus 27.4 g/t Au over 3.38 m, including 49.4 g/t Au over 1.16 m from GWY-V027
- 679.6 g/t Au and 164.0 g/t Ag over 1.16 m from GWY-V026
- 203.4 g/t Au and 1,311.0 g/t Ag over 1.25 m from GWY-V029
- 157.4 g/t Au over 4.15 m, including 470.2 g/t Au over 1.33 m from GWY-V036
- 143.7 g/t Au over 1.17 m from GWY-V059
- 82.5 g/t Au and 39.1 g/t Ag over 2.66 m, including 202.0 g/t Au and 53.5 g/t Ag over 1.07 m, plus 21.9 g/t Au and 63.0 g/t Ag over 6.7 m, including 69.1 g/t Au and 81.6 g/t Ag over 1.5 m from GWY-V003
- 78.2 g/t Au over 3.16 m, including 248.3 g/t Au and 38.0 g/t Ag over 0.96 m from GWY-V016
- 34.3 g/t Au and 83.0 g/t Ag over 6.44 m, including 98.8 g/t Au and 154.0 g/t Ag over 1.30 m, plus 18.9 g/t Au over 11.81 m, including 52.9 g/t Au over 1.32 m in GWY-V049
- 15.3 g/t Au and 36.5 g/t Ag over 11.44 m, including 112.3 g/t Au and 34.2 g/t Ag over 0.92 m from GWY-V012
- 8.9 g/t Au and 17.3 g/t Ag over 17.00 m, including 19.7 g/t Au and 22.0 g/t Ag over 1.18 m, 17.7 g/t Au and 49.9 g/t Ag over 4.81 m, and 27.2 g/t Au over 1.05 m from GWY-V056
- 40.4 g/t Au over 3.47 m, including 84.9 g/t Au over 1.16 m, plus 104.4 g/t Au over 1.20 m, plus 41.9 g/t Au over 1.04 m from GWY-V015
- 27.2 g/t Au and 10.8 g/t Ag over 4.36m, including 92.4 g/t Au and 22.0 g/t Ag over 0.94m from GWY-V078

Highlights from the 16 surface drill holes that targeted the stockwork intrusive along its western border with CB Gold include:

- 26.0 g/t Au and 26.9 g/t Ag over 4.19 m, including 105.0 g/t Au and 76.6 g/t Ag over 1.00 m, plus 29.2 g/t Au over 1.34 m in GWY-V061
- 45.9 g/t Au over 1.04 m in GWY-V054
- 17.4 g/t Au over 1.38 m, including 45.2 g/t Au over 0.51 m, plus 4.0 g/t Au over 12.38 m, including 7.8 g/t Au over 1.58 m, 12.0 g/t Au over 0.97 m, and 8.32 g/t Au over 2.30 m in GWY-V028

Notes: a 2.0 g/t Au lower cutoff grade was applied to all underground drill holes; a 0.5 g/t Au lower cutoff grade was applied to all surface drill holes; no upper cutoff grade was applied; only some of the gold grades of 9.0 g/t Au or higher appear in the highlights of 60 previously released drill hole results above unless drill core thickness exceeded 2.0 m; true widths for assays reported to date for underground holes at Vetás are 18% to 98% of downhole widths; true widths for assays reported to date for surface holes at Vetás are unknown.

Prior to the completion of the Arrangement on December 20, 2012, the Company did not carry on operations.

**Selected Quarterly Information**

A summary of selected information for each of the quarters presented below is as follows:

For the Period Ended	Revenue (\$)	Net Loss		Total assets (\$)
		Total (\$)	Basic and diluted loss per share (\$)	
2013 – March 31	Nil	(1,279,565)	(0.01)	18,797,291
2012 – December 31	Nil	(65,741)	(0.00)	19,692,629

**Three Months Ended March 31, 2013**

The Company reported a net loss of \$1,279,565 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company did not carry on operations prior to December 20, 2012.

Administrative Expenses

The three months ended March 31, 2013 saw administrative expenses of \$195,639, consisting primarily of:

- salaries and benefits of \$93,421, comprised of senior management.
- general office and consumable expenses of \$32,442,
- professional fees of \$32,781 consisting of general legal expenses, and accounting and financial reporting costs
- public company costs of \$67,411, consisting of filing fees, transfer agent fees, investor relations costs, and shareholder information expenses
- insurance expense of \$4,765, representing the quarterly portion of the Company's directors and officers insurance.
- Directors fees of \$38,977 consisting of director remuneration for the period then ended
- Travel of \$28,729, consisting primarily of corporate administrative travel.
- Gain on foreign exchange of \$362,084 resulting from US dollar cash held in the Company's Canadian entity, which maintains a functional currency of the Canadian dollar. This is substantially offset by a corresponding translation adjustment in other comprehensive loss upon translation and consolidation into the Company's US dollar consolidated financial statements.

Expenses from the commencement of operations on December 20, 2012 to December 31, 2013, and in part during the three months ended March 31, 2013 primarily relate to setting up corporate infrastructure and meeting statutory reporting requirements. During this period, the Company experienced elevated administrative and general expenses in conjunction with the close of the Arrangement described on page 2, and initial costs of administering a new reporting issuer.

### Exploration Expenses

The three months ended March 31, 2013 saw exploration expenses of \$1,344,352, consisting primarily of:

- Drilling expenses of \$632,627.
- Support costs of \$261,428, including camp costs, administrative support and ancillary consumable items.
- Assaying costs of \$244,428
- Professional fees of \$89,174
- Geological expenses of \$82,191
- Utilities of \$20,737
- Environmental expenses of \$13,278

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due. The Company's liquidity and operating results may be adversely affected if the Company's access to the capital market is hindered, whether as a result of a downturn in stock market conditions generally or as a result of conditions specific to the Company. As at March 31, 2013, the Company had a cash balance of \$16,317,372 (December 31, 2012 - \$17,427,613) to settle current liabilities of \$749,279 (December 31, 2012 - \$104,799). The Company regularly evaluates its cash position to ensure preservation and security of capital as well as maintenance of liquidity. As the Company does not generate revenue, managing liquidity risk is dependent upon the ability to secure additional financing.

Most of the Company's financial liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms.

**Galway Gold Inc.**  
**Management's Discussion and Analysis**  
**For the Period ended March 31, 2013**  
**Dated: May 30, 2013**

---

The Company intends to utilize the \$18,000,000 received on closing of the Arrangement Agreement as follows:

<b>Principal Purpose</b>	<b>Estimated Amount U.S.\$</b>
Fees payable in connection with the Listing	\$40,000
Fees payable under Material Contracts	\$612,500
Cost related to completion of Phase 1 as recommended in the Vetas Technical Report	\$2,597,100
Cost related to completion of Phase 2 as recommended in the Vetas Technical Report	\$3,587,800
Anticipated general and administrative expenses for the next 12 months (including legal, accounting and other professional fees)	\$1,500,000
Unallocated	\$9,662,600
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$18,000,000</b>

Although Galway Gold intends to spend the funds available to it as stated above, there may be circumstances where, for sound business reasons, the re-allocation of funds may be necessary.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of the date of this filing, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on the results of operations or financial condition of the Company including, without limitation, such considerations as liquidity and capital resources that have not previously been discussed.

#### **Proposed Transactions**

There are no proposed transactions as at the date of this document.

### **Related Party Transactions**

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company advanced \$200,000 to Galway Metals Inc., a company sharing common officers and directors, for the purposes of funding certain administrative and executive salaries paid by Galway Metals on the Company's behalf. As at March 31, 2013, a balance of \$65,865 (December 31, 2012 - \$Nil) remained.

Remuneration of directors and officers included in administrative expenses are as follows:

For the three months ended March 31, 2013:

Remuneration paid for CEO and CFO services	\$50,333
--	----------

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company accrued or paid \$10,500 for accounting and CFO services to an organization where the Company's CFO is a member of senior management. Included in accounts payable as at March 31, 2013, is owed \$13,810 (December 31, 2013 - \$7,500) in relation to these services.

The above transactions, occurring in the normal course of operations, are measured at the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

### **Risk Factors**

#### (a) Property Risk

The Company's significant mineral property is the Vetas project (the "Project"). Unless the Company acquires or develops additional significant properties, the Company will be solely dependent upon the Project. If no additional mineral properties are acquired by the Company, any adverse development affecting the Project would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

#### (b) Financial Risk

The Company's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks: credit risk, liquidity risk, market risk (including interest rate, foreign exchange rate, and commodity and equity price risk).

Risk management is carried out by the Company's management team with guidance from the Audit Committee under policies approved by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors also provides regular guidance for overall risk management.

#### Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss associated with a counterparty's inability to fulfill its payment obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to cash. The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations. Cash consists of cash at banks and on hand. The cash has been invested and held with reputable financial institutions, from which management believes the risk of loss to be remote.



### Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk refers to the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they become due, or can only do so at excessive cost. The Company's liquidity and operating results may be adversely affected if the Company's access to the capital market is hindered, whether as a result of a downturn in stock market conditions generally or as a result of conditions specific to the Company. As at March 31, 2013, the Company had a cash balance of \$16,317,372 (December 31, 2012 - \$17,427,613) to settle current liabilities of \$749,280 (December 31, 2012 - \$104,799). The Company regularly evaluates its cash position to ensure preservation and security of capital as well as maintenance of liquidity. As the Company does not generate revenue, managing liquidity risk is dependent upon the ability to secure additional financing.

Most of the Company's financial liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms.

### Market Risks

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and commodity and equity prices.

(i) Interest Rate Risk

The Company has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt. The Company regularly monitors its cash management policy. As a result, Galway Gold is not subject to significant interest rate risk.

(ii) Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company's functional currency is the United States dollar and it transacts major purchases in United States dollars, Colombian Pesos, and Canadian dollars. To fund exploration expenses, it maintains United States dollar, Colombian Peso and Canadian dollar denominated bank accounts containing sufficient funds to support monthly forecasted cash outflows. Management believes the foreign exchange risk derived from currency conversions is minimal, and therefore does not hedge its foreign exchange risk.

(iii) Price Risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors commodity prices of precious metals, individual equity movements, and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

### Sensitivity Analysis

Based on management's knowledge and experience of the financial markets, the Company believes the following movements are reasonably possible for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

- (i) Cash is subject to floating interest rates. Sensitivity to a plus or minus one percentage point change in interest rates would impact on the reported net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013 by approximately \$163,000.
- (ii) The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk on fluctuations of financial instruments related to cash, prepaids and deposits and accounts payable denominated in Canadian dollars. Sensitivity to a plus or minus one percentage point change in exchange rates would not have a material impact on the reported comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013.
- (iii) Commodity price risk could adversely affect the Company. In particular, the Company's future profitability and viability of development depends upon the world market price of precious and base metals. These metal prices have fluctuated significantly in recent years. There is no assurance that, even if commercial quantities of these metals may be produced in the future, a profitable market will exist for them.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company was not a producing entity. As a result, commodity price risk may affect the completion of future equity transactions such as equity offerings and the exercise of stock options and warrants. This may also affect the Company's liquidity and its ability to meet its ongoing obligations.

### **New Accounting Standards and Interpretations**

Certain pronouncements were issued by the IASB or the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC") that are mandatory for accounting periods after December 31, 2012. The following new standards have been adopted:

- (i) IFRS 10 – Consolidated financial statements ("IFRS 10") was issued by the IASB in May 2011. IFRS 10 is a new standard which identifies the concept of control as the determining factor in assessing whether an entity should be included in the consolidated financial statements of the parent company. Control is comprised of three elements: power over an investee; exposure to variable returns from an investee; and the ability to use power to affect the reporting entity's returns. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.
- (ii) IFRS 11 – Joint arrangements ("IFRS 11") was issued by the IASB in May 2011. IFRS 11 is a new standard which focuses on classifying joint arrangements by their rights and obligations rather than their legal form. Entities are classified into two groups: parties having rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of an arrangement, and rights to the net assets of an arrangement. Entities in the former case account for assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in accordance with the arrangement, whereas entities in the latter case account for the arrangement using the equity method. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

- (iii) IFRS 12 – Disclosure of interests in other entities (“IFRS 12”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011. IFRS 12 is a new standard which provides disclosure requirements for entities reporting interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, special purpose vehicles, and off balance sheet vehicles. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.
- (iv) IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2013, provides the guidance on the measurement of fair value and related disclosures through a fair value hierarchy. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements given the existing asset and liability mix of the Company to which fair value accounting applies.
- (v) IAS 1 – Presentation of financial statements (“IAS 1”) was amended by the IASB in June 2011 in order to align the presentation of items in other comprehensive income with US GAAP standards. Items in other comprehensive income will be required to be presented in two categories: items that will be reclassified into profit or loss and those that will not be reclassified. The flexibility to present a statement of comprehensive income as one statement or two separate statements of profit and loss and other comprehensive income remains unchanged. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.
- (vi) IAS 27 - Separate Financial Statements (“IAS 27”) was effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, as a result of the issue of the new consolidation suite of standards, IAS 27 has been reissued, as the consolidation guidance will now be included in IFRS 10. IAS 27 will now only prescribe the accounting and disclosure requirements for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates when an entity prepares separate financial statements. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this pronouncement and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.
- (vii) IAS 28 - Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (“IAS 28”) was issued by the IASB in May 2011 and supersedes IAS 28 - Investments in Associates and prescribes the accounting for investments in associates and sets out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. IAS 28 defines 'significant influence' and provides guidance on how the equity method of accounting is to be applied (including exemptions from applying the equity method in some cases). It also prescribes how investments in associates and joint ventures should be tested for impairment. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this standard and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.
- (viii) In October 2011, the IASB issued IFRIC - 20 Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine. This interpretation requires the capitalization and depreciation of stripping costs in the production phase if an entity can demonstrate that it is probable future economic benefits will be realized, the cost can be reliably measured and the entity can identify the component of the ore body for which access has been improved. Retrospective application of this interpretation is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with earlier application permitted. At January 1, 2013, the Company adopted this interpretation and there was no material impact on the Company's unaudited condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

- (ix) IFRS 7 - Financial Instruments: Disclosures ("IFRS 7") was amended by the IASB in December 2011 to amend the disclosure requirements in IFRS 7 to require information about all recognised financial instruments that are set off in accordance with paragraph 42 of IAS 32 - Financial Instruments: Presentation.

### **Current Global Financial Conditions and Trends**

Securities of mining and mineral exploration companies have experienced substantial volatility in the past, often based on factors unrelated to the financial performance or prospects of the companies involved. These factors include macroeconomic developments globally, and market perceptions of the attractiveness of particular industries. The price of the securities of companies is also significantly affected by short-term changes in commodity prices, base and precious metal prices or other mineral prices, currency exchange fluctuation and the political environment in the countries in which the Company does business. As of March 31, 2013, the global economy continues to be in a period of significant economic volatility, in large part due to US and European economic concerns which have impacted global economic growth.

### **Dependence on Key Employees**

The Company's business and operations are dependent on retaining the services of a small number of key employees. The success of the Company is, and will continue to be, to a significant extent, dependent on the expertise and experience of these employees. The loss of one or more of these employees could have a materially adverse effect on the Company. The Company does not maintain insurance on any of its key employees.

### **Financial Instruments**

#### Financial Assets

All financial assets are recognized and derecognized on the trade date where the purchase or sale of a financial asset is under a contract whose terms require delivery of the financial asset within the time frame established by the market concerned, and are initially measured at fair value, plus transaction costs.

Financial assets are classified as 'loans and receivables'. The classification depends on the nature and purpose of the financial assets and is determined at the time of initial recognition. Loans and receivables are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, with interest recognized on an effective yield basis.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial asset and of allocating interest, when applicable, over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments through the expected life of the financial asset or to the net carrying amount on initial recognition.

Impairment of financial assets:

Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting period. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial assets, the estimated future cash flows of the investments have been negatively impacted. Evidence of impairment could include: significant financial difficulty of the

issuer or counterparty; or default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or the likelihood that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial reorganization.

#### Financial Liabilities

Financial liabilities are classified as 'other financial liabilities'.

#### Other Financial Liabilities

Other financial liabilities including borrowings are initially measured at fair value, net of transaction costs. Other financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, with interest recognized on an effective yield basis.

The effective interest method is a method of calculating the amortized cost of a financial liability and of allocating interest costs over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments through the expected life of the financial liability or to the net carrying amount on initial recognition.

#### De-recognition of Financial Liabilities

The Company de-recognizes financial liabilities when the obligations are discharged, cancelled or expire. The Company's financial instruments consist of the following:

Financial Assets:	Classification:
Cash	Loans and receivables
Prepays and deposits	Loans and receivables
Financial Liabilities:	Classification:
Accounts payable and other liabilities	Other financial liabilities

The carrying amount of financial assets is reduced by any impairment loss directly for all financial assets with the exception of accounts or loans receivable, where the carrying amount is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When an accounts or loan receivable is considered uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognized in profit or loss.

If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss to the extent that the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed does not exceed what the amortized cost would have been had the impairment not been recognized.

#### Financial Instruments Recorded at Fair Value

Financial instruments recorded at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial position are classified using a fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in making the measurements. The fair value hierarchy has the following levels: Level 1 - valuation based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2 - valuation techniques based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly [i.e. as prices] or indirectly [i.e. derived from prices]; and Level 3 - valuation techniques using inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data [unobservable inputs]. As of December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013 cash was classified as Level 1 on the consolidated statements of financial position.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

Significant assumptions about the future that management has made that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, relate to, but are not limited to, the following:

##### Stock-Based Compensation

Management is required to make certain estimates when determining the fair value of stock options awards, and the number of awards that are expected to vest. These estimates affect the amount recognized as stock-based compensation in the statements of loss based on estimates of forfeiture and expected lives of the underlying stock options. For the period ended March 31, 2013, the Company had not issued any stock options and had therefore had not recognized any stock based compensation expense.

#### **Critical Accounting Judgments**

##### Income Taxes and Recovery of Deferred Tax Assets

The measurement of income taxes payable and deferred income tax assets and liabilities requires management to make judgments in the interpretation and application of the relevant tax laws. The actual amount of income taxes only becomes final upon filing and acceptance of the tax return by the relevant authorities, which occurs subsequent to the issuance of the financial statements. Deferred tax assets require management to assess the likelihood that Galway Gold will generate taxable income in future periods in order to utilize recognized deferred tax assets.

##### Restoration, Rehabilitation and Environmental Obligations

Management's assumption of no material restoration, rehabilitation and environmental exposure, is based on the facts and circumstances that existed in the current and prior years.

#### Impairment of Resource Property Costs

Management reviews the carrying values of exploration and evaluation assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recoverable. This analysis is performed by CGU which is defined as the Company resource properties. The recoverable amount of cash-generating units for an exploration stage company requires various subjective assumptions. These assumptions may change significantly over time when new information becomes available and may cause original estimates to change.

#### **Subsequent Events**

As of the date of this document, there are no reportable subsequent events.

#### **Capital Management**

The Company manages its capital with the following objectives:

to ensure sufficient financial flexibility to achieve the ongoing business objectives including funding of future growth opportunities, and pursuit of accretive acquisitions; and

to maximize shareholder return through enhancing the share value.

The Company monitors its capital structure and makes adjustments according to market conditions in an effort to meet its objectives given the current outlook of the business and industry in general. The Company may manage its capital structure by issuing new shares, repurchasing outstanding shares, adjusting capital spending, or disposing of assets. The capital structure is reviewed by management and the Board of Directors on an ongoing basis.

The Company considers its capital to be equity, comprising share capital, accumulated other comprehensive income, and deficit, which at March 31, 2013 totaled \$18,048,011 (December 31, 2012 - \$19,587,830). The Company manages capital through its financial and operational forecasting processes. The Company reviews its working capital and forecasts its future cash flows based on operating expenditures, and other investing and financing activities. The forecast is updated based on activities related to its mineral properties. Information is provided to the Board of Directors of the Company. The Company's capital management objectives, policies and processes have remained unchanged during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company is not subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

**Additional Disclosure for Venture Issuers without Significant Revenue**

Administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are comprised of the following:

	(\$)
Professional fees	32,781
Public company costs	67,411
Salaries and benefits	93,421
Office and general	32,422
Insurance	4,765
Directors fees	38,977
Travel	28,729
	<b>298,526</b>

**Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data**

As at the date of this document, the Company had 166,511,932 issued and outstanding shares.